

REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action mailed December 27, 2002, in which claims 1 and 16-21 were rejected. With this Amendment, claims 1 and 16 have been amended. All pending claims are presented for reconsideration and allowance.

In Section 1 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Groves et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,414,439).

Independent claim 1 has been amended to more clearly describe the display as including "a conformal display of stored objects corresponding to object information contained in a data storage system." Such a system is neither taught nor suggested by Groves et al. Accordingly, Applicant submits that claim 1 is allowable in view of the cited reference, and requests that the rejection be withdrawn.

In Section 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 16-21 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Groves et al. in view of Lemelson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,226,389 B1). The Examiner cites Groves et al. as teaching the display of claim 1 except for the teaching of displaying sensed objects. However, the Examiner found Lemelson et al. to teach the displaying of sensed objects as described in dependent claims 16-21.

Applicant submits that independent claim 1 is allowable for the reasons set forth above. Accordingly, Applicant submits that claims 16-21 are allowable since they depend from allowable base claim 1, and request that the rejections be withdrawn.

In view of the above comments and remarks, it is believed that the present application is in condition for allowance. Consideration and favorable action is respectfully requested.

The Director is authorized to charge any fee deficiency required by this paper or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 23-1123.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTMAN, CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A.

By: 

Brian D. Kaul, Reg. No. 41,885
Suite 1600 - International Centre
900 Second Avenue South
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-3319
Phone: (612) 334-3222 Fax: (612) 334-3312

BDK/djb

MARKED-UP VERSION OF REPLACEMENT CLAIMS

1. (Amended) A display on a mobile body, comprising:

a conformal,~~—augmented~~ display of stored objects
corresponding to object information contained in a data
storage system.

16. (Twice Amended) The display of claim 1 including~~wherein the~~
~~conformal, augmented display, comprises:~~

displayed sensed objects, displayed at a perspective
approximately equal to a perspective that would be
perceived from an operator position at a location of
the mobile body by an operator who has visual contact
with actual objects corresponding to the displayed
sensed objects.